

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,647	12/16/2003	Hyun Kee Lee	2336-231	3538
75	90 06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			HUGHES, JAMES P	

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLI Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314

2883

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŧ		•	γ(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	10/735,647	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James P. Hughes	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			S
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). •
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	, ,		
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ceived	
·	or the defining dopies not re	ocived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/735,647

Art Unit: 2883

14

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7 and 14-17, drawn to a multi-channel variable optical attenuator, classified in class 385, subclass 140.
 - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to a method of manufacturing a variable optical attenuator, classified in class 385, subclass 14.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the attenuator could be made via numerous alternative methods, such as an injection molded process.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Ben Hauptman on Friday June 2, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/735,647

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-2474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner Art Unit 2883

JH

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Sechnology Center 2800

Frank Il Fort

Page 4